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## Set Up

$\triangleright$ Let $X$ be a collection of points in $\mathbb{R}^{n_{1}}$ and $Y$ be a collection of points in $\mathbb{R}^{n_{h}}$ i.e.

$$
X=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\} \text { and } Y=\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}\right\}
$$

$\triangleright$ Ideally, we want to find some continuous function $f \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{n_{1}}, \mathbb{R}^{n_{h}}\right)$ s.t.

$$
f\left(x_{i}\right)=y_{i} \text { for all } i
$$

$\triangleright$ By a model space $\mathcal{M}$, we will call a space of continuous functions $C\left(\mathbb{R}^{n_{1}}, \mathbb{R}^{n_{h}}\right)$.
$\triangleright$ How can we find such $f$ ?
In other settings, we can have other model spaces. For example, probability distributions.

## What's a Neural Network?

$\triangleright$ For that, consider a new map $p_{\theta}: \mathbb{R}^{n_{1}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_{h}}$ that consists of a composition of affine linear transformation $W_{i}$ with a non-linear function $\sigma$

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{\theta}: \mathbb{R}^{n_{1}} \xrightarrow{W_{1}} \mathbb{R}^{n_{2}} \xrightarrow{W_{2}} \mathbb{R}^{n_{3}} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_{k-1}} \xrightarrow{W_{h}} \mathbb{R}^{n_{h}} \\
p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})=W_{h} \sigma W_{h-1} \sigma \ldots W_{2} \sigma W_{1} \mathbf{x}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $W_{i} \mathbf{x}=A_{i} \mathbf{x}+b_{i}$ with $A_{i}$ being a linear transformation $\mathbb{R}^{n_{i}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_{i+1}}$ and $b_{i}$ being a vector in $\mathbb{R}^{n_{i+1}}$
$\triangleright$ We can see that $p_{\theta}$ lives in a space of continuous functions from $\mathbb{R}^{n_{1}}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{n_{h}}$ i.e. $p_{\theta} \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{n_{1}}, \mathbb{R}^{n_{h}}\right)$.
$\triangleright p_{\theta}$ is a neural network (NN).

## NN Architecture

$\triangleright$ Now, $f$ and $p_{\theta}$ live in the same Model space $C\left(\mathbb{R}^{n_{1}}, \mathbb{R}^{n_{h}}\right)$.
$\triangleright$ Let's collect all $A_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ into a set

$$
\theta=\left\{\left(A_{i}, b_{i}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N}\right\}
$$

where $N$ is a number of parameters in $A_{i}$ and $b_{i}$.
$\triangleright$ The space $\theta=\mathbb{R}^{N}$ is called a parameter space $\mathcal{P}$.
$\triangleright$ Let $\mathbf{n}=\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{h}\right)$. We will call a tuple $(\mathbf{n}, \sigma)$ to be an architecture of a NN $p_{\theta}$.
$\triangleright \operatorname{In}$ the literature, $A_{i}$ are called weights and $b_{i}$ are called biases.

## Objects

## Training data set:

 ( $X, Y$ )
## NN:

$p_{\theta}$

## Affine Linear Transformation:

$$
W_{i} \mathbf{x}=A_{i} \mathbf{x}+b_{i}
$$

Activation function:

Weights:

## Model Space:

$C\left(\mathbb{R}^{n_{1}}, \mathbb{R}^{n_{h}}\right)$
Parameter Space
$\mathbb{R}^{N}, N$ is the number of weights. NN :

## Weight Map

$\triangleright$ Next, let's define a weight map

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Psi: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \\
\theta \mapsto p_{\theta}
\end{gathered}
$$

$\triangleright$ If we have a notion of a distance (metric) $\|\cdot\|$, then we can define a loss function

$$
\operatorname{loss}\left(p_{\theta},(X, Y)\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left\|p_{\theta}\left(x_{i}\right)-y_{i}\right\|
$$

$\triangleright$ Usually, when we initialize initial random weights $\theta$, the loss is pretty big. The goal is adjust our weights via gradient descent in $\mathcal{M}$ to minimize the loss function

## Further Questions and Concepts to Learn

$\triangleright$ Universal Approximation Theorem (why can we even do it?)
$\triangleright$ Over fitting (ability to generalize NN)
$\triangleright$ Getting stuck in local minima (a loss function landscape)
$\triangleright$ Best initialization
$\triangleright$ Way to optimize (Stochastic Gradient Descent, Adam optimizer)
$\triangleright$ Different models $\mathcal{M}$ require different NN architectures.

## What are Deep Polynomial Neural Networks (DPNNs)?

A PNN is defined as follows:
$\triangleright$ It's NN without bias i.e. $\theta=\left(A_{i}, 0\right)$.
$\triangleright$ It's activation function $\sigma:=\rho_{r}$ is given by a monomial $x^{r}$ i.e. $\rho_{r}$ is defined by the entrywise operation

$$
\rho_{r}(\mathbf{x})=\left(x_{1}^{r}, \ldots, x_{n}^{r}\right) .
$$

$\triangleright$ Thus the DPNN outputs for each coordinate a homogeneous polynomials i.e.

$$
p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})=\left(p_{\theta}^{1}(\mathbf{x}), \ldots, p_{\theta}^{n_{h}}(\mathbf{x})\right)
$$

$\triangleright$ The model space $\mathcal{M}$ is given by a product of symmetric spaces $\left(\operatorname{Sym}_{r^{h-1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n_{1}}\right)\right)^{n_{h}}$ i.e. $\operatorname{Sym}_{r^{h-1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n_{1}}\right)$ is a space of homogeneous polynomial of degree $r^{h-1}$ in $n_{1}$ variables.

## Polynomial Neural Network - Example

This PNN has architecture $d=(3,2,1), r=2$, and is given by the polynomial map

$$
p_{\theta}: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1}, \mathbf{x} \mapsto W_{2} \rho_{2} W_{1} \mathbf{x}
$$

Here we have:
$\triangleright \rho_{2}$ is the activation function that squares each coordinate.
$\triangleright W_{1}$ and $W_{2}$ are linear transformations.

## Parameter Map

We can compute the polynomial $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})=\left(W_{2} \rho_{2} W_{1}\right) \mathbf{x}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
b_{1} & b_{2}
\end{array}\right) \rho_{2}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3}
\end{array}\right)= \\
=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
b_{1} & b_{2}
\end{array}\right)\binom{\left(a_{11} x_{1}+a_{12} x_{2}+a_{13} x_{3}\right)^{2}}{\left(a_{21} x_{1}+a_{22} x_{2}+a_{23} x_{3}\right)^{2}}=b_{1} q_{1}^{2}+b_{2} q_{2}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $q_{i}:=a_{i 1} x_{1}+a_{i 2} x_{2}+a_{i 3} x_{3}$.

$$
\Psi: \mathbb{R}^{8} \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \cong \mathbb{R}^{6}
$$

$$
\left(a_{i j}, b_{k}\right)_{i, j, k} \mapsto p_{\theta}(x)=b_{1}\left(a_{11} x_{1}+a_{12} x_{2}+a_{13} x_{3}\right)^{2}+b_{2}\left(a_{21} x_{1}+a_{22} x_{2}+a_{23} x_{3}\right)^{2}
$$

## Example $d=(3,2,1), r=2$

For architecture $d=(3,2,1), r=2$ and parameters

$$
\theta=\left[W_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
b_{1} & b_{2}
\end{array}\right), W_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23}
\end{array}\right)\right]
$$

the resulting map $\Psi$ is given by

$$
\theta \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{c}
b_{1} a_{11}^{2}+b_{2} a_{21}^{2} \\
b_{1} a_{12}^{2}+b_{2} a_{22}^{2} \\
b_{1} a_{13}^{2}+b_{2} a_{23}^{2} \\
2\left(b_{1} a_{11} a_{12}+b_{2} a_{21} a_{22}\right) \\
2\left(b_{1} a_{11} a_{13}+b_{2} a_{21} a_{23}\right) \\
2\left(b_{1} a_{12} a_{13}+b_{2} a_{22} a_{23}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

with the entries that are resulting coefficients of a homogeneous polynomial $b_{1} q_{1}^{2}+b_{2} q_{2}^{2}$.

## Neuromanifolds and -varieties

$\mathcal{M}:=\operatorname{Im}(\Psi)$ denotes the neuromanifold. This is a semialgebraic set.
Its Zariski closure $\mathcal{V}=\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is called the neurovariety.
An architecture of NN is filling if $\mathcal{V}=\left(\operatorname{Sym}_{r^{h-1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n_{1}}\right)\right)^{n_{h}}$. In this case, we say that $\mathcal{M}$ is thick.

Question: What architectures are filling?

## Single Output Networks

Next, let's consider networks with the architecture $d=(n, m, 1)$ for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$.
Then $p_{\theta} \in \operatorname{Sym}_{r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ as

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{\theta}(x)=b_{1} q_{1}(x)^{r}+b_{2} q_{2}(x)^{r}+\cdots+b_{m} q_{m}(x)^{r} \text { with } \\
q_{i}(x)=a_{i 1} x_{1}+\cdots+a_{i n} x_{n}, \quad i=1,2 \ldots, m
\end{gathered}
$$

So, we can see that

$$
\mathcal{M}_{d, r}=\left\{p_{\theta} \in \operatorname{Sym}_{r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \mid p_{\theta}=b_{1} q_{1}^{r}+b_{2} q_{2}^{r}+\cdots+b_{m} q_{m}^{r}\right\}
$$

## Single Output Networks: $d=(n, m, 1)$ and $r=2$

The neuromanifold $\mathcal{M}_{d, 2} \subseteq \operatorname{Sym}_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is given by $b_{1} q_{1}^{2}+b_{2} q_{2}^{2}+\cdots+b_{m} q_{m}^{2}$.
Question: When is $\mathcal{M}_{d, 2}=\operatorname{Sym}_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ ?
$\triangleright$ Take some $Q \in \operatorname{Sym}_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
$\triangleright$ To each $Q$ there's a corresponding symmetric matrix $A$ of size $n \times n$.
$\triangleright$ Then we can see that $Q=b_{1} q_{1}^{2}+b_{2} q_{2}^{2}+\cdots+b_{m} q_{m}^{2}$ if and only if

$$
A=b_{1} v_{1}^{T} v_{1}+b_{2} v_{2}^{T} v_{2}+\cdots+b_{m} v_{m}^{T} v_{m}
$$

for some row vectors $v_{i}, i=1, \ldots, m$.
So, $\mathcal{M}_{d, 2}$ is described by symmetric matrices of rank at most $m$.

## Single Output Networks: $d=(n, m, 1)$ and $r=2$

$\triangleright \mathcal{M}_{d, 2}=\operatorname{Sym}_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for $m \geq n$ as we need exactly $n$ linear terms to hit the full rank of any symmetric matrix.
$\triangleright \mathcal{M}_{d, 2}=\mathcal{V}_{d, 2} \subsetneq \operatorname{Sym}_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for $m<n$. The image is given by symmetric matrices of rank $\leq m$. In other words, the image is cut out by $(m+1) \times(m+1)$ minors.

Example: Recall $d=(3,2,1), r=2$.
Then $p_{\theta} \in \mathcal{M}_{d, 2}$ if and only if $\operatorname{det}(A)=0$ where $A=b_{1} v_{1}^{T} v_{1}+b_{2} v_{2}^{T} v_{2}=$

$$
=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
b_{1} a_{11}^{2}+b_{2} a_{21}^{2} & 2\left(b_{1} a_{11} a_{12}+b_{2} a_{21} a_{22}\right) & 2\left(b_{1} a_{11} a_{13}+b_{2} a_{21} a_{23}\right) \\
2\left(b_{1} a_{11} a_{12}+b_{2} a_{21} a_{22}\right) & b_{1} a_{12}^{2}+b_{2} a_{22}^{2} & 2\left(b_{1} a_{12} a_{13}+b_{2} a_{22} a_{23}\right) \\
2\left(b_{1} a_{11} a_{13}+b_{2} a_{21} a_{23}\right) & 2\left(b_{1} a_{12} a_{13}+b_{2} a_{22} a_{23}\right) & b_{1} a_{13}^{2}+b_{2} a_{23}^{2}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

## Single Output Networks: $d=(m, n, 1)$ and $r>2$

The neuromanifold $\mathcal{M}_{d, r} \subset \operatorname{Sym}_{r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is given by $b_{1} q_{1}^{r}+b_{2} q_{2}^{r}+\cdots+b_{m} q_{m}^{r}$.
$\triangleright$ Instead of a symmetric matrix $A$, we have a symmetric tensor $T$.
$\triangleright$ Instead of $A=b_{1} v_{1}^{T} v_{1}+b_{2} v_{2}^{T} v_{2}+\cdots+b_{m} v_{m}^{T} v_{m}$, we have

$$
T=b_{1} v_{1}^{\otimes r}+b_{2} v_{2}^{\otimes r}+\cdots+b_{m} v_{m}^{\otimes r}
$$

$\triangleright$ Unfortunately, the set of tensors with rank $\leq r$ is not closed.
$\triangleright$ So, understanding $\mathcal{M}_{d, r}$ is equivalent to understanding the set of real symmetric tensors $T$ of "some" symmetric rank $m$

## Example: $d=(3, m, 1)$ and $r=3$

Take a homogeneous polynomial $f$ of degree 3 in 3 variables $x, y$, and $z$. According to [?], we can find a change of basis with real coefficients s.t.

$$
f(x, y, z) \mapsto g(x, y, z)=x^{3}+y^{3}+z^{3}+\lambda x y z \text { with } \lambda \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

We know the following about the symmetric tensor $T_{g}$
$\triangleright$ if $\lambda \neq-3$, then $\operatorname{rank}_{S}\left(T_{g}\right)=4$.
$\triangleright$ if $\lambda=-3$, then $\operatorname{rank}_{S}\left(T_{g}\right)=5$.
This gives us that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \triangleright d=(3,4,1), r=3, \mathcal{M}_{d, 3} \subsetneq \mathcal{V}_{d, 3}=\operatorname{Sym}_{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) . \\
& \triangleright d^{\prime}=(3,5,1), r=3, \mathcal{M}_{d^{\prime}, 3}=\operatorname{Sym}_{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Question: For a 2-layer network architecture $(d, r)$ such that $\mathcal{V}_{d, r} \subsetneq\left(\operatorname{Sym}_{r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n_{1}}\right)\right)^{n_{h}}$, are there any other examples (other than $d=(n, m, 1), r=2)$ where $\mathcal{M}_{d, r}=\mathcal{V}_{d, r}$ ?

## Example: $d=(2,2,2,1)$ and $r=2$

For the architecture $d=(2,2,2,1)$ and $r=2$, we have the following polynomial map

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})=\left(W_{3} \rho_{2} W_{2} \rho_{2} W_{1}\right) \mathbf{x}=W_{3} \rho_{2}\left(W_{2} \rho_{2} W_{1} \mathbf{x}\right)=W_{3} \rho_{2}\binom{b_{11} q_{1}^{2}+b_{12} q_{2}^{2}}{b_{21} q_{1}^{2}+b_{22} q_{2}^{2}}= \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
c_{1} & c_{2}
\end{array}\right)\binom{\left(b_{11} q_{1}^{2}+b_{12} q_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(b_{21} q_{1}^{2}+b_{22} q_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}}=c_{1}\left(b_{11} q_{1}^{2}+b_{12} q_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}+c_{2}\left(b_{21} q_{1}^{2}+b_{22} q_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

So, the image of $p_{\theta}$ is given by a homogeneous polynomial of degree 4 in two variables that can be decomposed as

$$
\alpha_{1} q_{1}^{4}+\alpha_{2} q_{1}^{2} q_{2}^{2}+\alpha_{3} q_{2}^{4}
$$

for some $\alpha_{i}$ depending on $a_{i j}, b_{p q}$, and $c_{k}$.
Question: What can we say about decomposing real symmetric tensors $T \in \operatorname{Sym}_{4}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ as $T=\alpha_{1} v_{1}^{\otimes 4}+\alpha_{2} v_{1}^{\otimes 2} v_{2}^{\otimes 2}+\alpha_{2} v_{2}^{\otimes 4}$ ?

## Thank you! Questions? Comments?

