# Geometry of Deep Polynomial Neural Network

Maksym Zubkov

Math and ML Reading Group February 16, 2024  $\triangleright$  Let X be a collection of points in  $\mathbb{R}^{n_1}$  and Y be a collection of points in  $\mathbb{R}^{n_h}$  i.e.

$$X = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$$
 and  $Y = \{y_1, \dots, y_k\}.$ 

 $\triangleright$  Ideally, we want to find some continuous function  $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}, \mathbb{R}^{n_h})$  s.t.

$$f(x_i) = y_i$$
 for all  $i$ .

▷ By a model space  $\mathcal{M}$ , we will call a space of continuous functions  $C(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}, \mathbb{R}^{n_h})$ . ▷ How can we find such f?

In other settings, we can have other model spaces. For example, probability distributions.

# What's a Neural Network?

 $\triangleright$  For that, consider a new map  $p_{\theta} : \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \to \mathbb{R}^{n_h}$  that consists of a composition of affine linear transformation  $W_i$  with a non-linear function  $\sigma$ 

$$p_{\theta}: \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \xrightarrow{W_1} \mathbb{R}^{n_2} \xrightarrow{W_2} \mathbb{R}^{n_3} \to \dots \to \mathbb{R}^{n_{k-1}} \xrightarrow{W_h} \mathbb{R}^{n_h}$$

$$p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) = W_h \sigma W_{h-1} \sigma \dots W_2 \sigma W_1 \mathbf{x}$$

where  $W_i \mathbf{x} = A_i \mathbf{x} + b_i$  with  $A_i$  being a linear transformation  $\mathbb{R}^{n_i} \to \mathbb{R}^{n_{i+1}}$  and  $b_i$  being a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^{n_{i+1}}$ 

- $\triangleright$  We can see that  $p_{\theta}$  lives in a space of continuous functions from  $\mathbb{R}^{n_1}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^{n_h}$  i.e.  $p_{\theta} \in C(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}, \mathbb{R}^{n_h}).$
- $\triangleright$   $p_{\theta}$  is a neural network (NN).

 $\triangleright$  Now, f and  $p_{\theta}$  live in the same *Model space*  $C(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}, \mathbb{R}^{n_h})$ .

 $\triangleright$  Let's collect all  $A_i$  and  $b_i$  into a set

$$\theta = \{ (A_i, b_i) \in \mathbb{R}^N \}$$

where N is a number of parameters in  $A_i$  and  $b_i$ .

- $\triangleright$  The space  $\theta = \mathbb{R}^N$  is called a parameter space  $\mathcal{P}$ .
- ▷ Let  $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2, ..., n_h)$ . We will call a tuple  $(\mathbf{n}, \sigma)$  to be an architecture of a NN  $p_{\theta}$ .
- $\triangleright$  In the literature,  $A_i$  are called **weights** and  $b_i$  are called **biases**.

# **Objects**

Training data set:	(X,Y)
NN:	$p_{ heta}$
Affine Linear Transformation:	$W_i \mathbf{x} = A_i \mathbf{x} + b_i$
Activation function:	σ
Weights:	$\theta = (A_i, b_i)$
Model Space:	$C(\mathbb{R}^{n_1},\mathbb{R}^{n_h})$

Parameter Space

 $b_i$ )

 $\mathbb{R}^N$ , N is the number of weights. **NN**:

# Weight Map

▷ Next, let's define a weight map

$$\Psi: \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{M}$$
$$\theta \mapsto p_{\theta}$$

 $\triangleright$  If we have a notion of a distance (metric)  $\|\cdot\|$ , then we can define a loss function

$$loss(p_{\theta}, (X, Y)) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \|p_{\theta}(x_i) - y_i\|$$

 $\triangleright$  Usually, when we initialize initial random weights  $\theta$ , the *loss* is pretty big. The goal is

adjust our weights via gradient descent in  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{M}}$  to minimize the loss function

- ▷ Universal Approximation Theorem (why can we even do it?)
- ▷ Over fitting (ability to generalize NN)
- ▷ Getting stuck in local minima (a loss function landscape)
- Best initialization
- ▷ Way to optimize (Stochastic Gradient Descent, Adam optimizer)
- $\triangleright$  Different models  $\mathcal M$  require different NN architectures.

# What are Deep Polynomial Neural Networks (DPNNs)?

A PNN is defined as follows:

- ▷ It's NN without bias i.e.  $\theta = (A_i, 0)$ .
- $\triangleright$  It's activation function  $\sigma:=\rho_r$  is given by a monomial  $x^r$  i.e.  $\rho_r$  is defined by the entrywise operation

$$\rho_r(\mathbf{x}) = (x_1^r, \dots, x_n^r).$$

▷ Thus the DPNN outputs for each coordinate a homogeneous polynomials i.e.

$$p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) = (p_{\theta}^{1}(\mathbf{x}), \dots, p_{\theta}^{n_{h}}(\mathbf{x}))$$

▷ The model space  $\mathcal{M}$  is given by a product of symmetric spaces  $(\text{Sym}_{r^{h-1}}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}))^{n_h}$  i.e.  $\text{Sym}_{r^{h-1}}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1})$  is a space of homogeneous polynomial of degree  $r^{h-1}$  in  $n_1$  variables. This PNN has architecture d = (3, 2, 1), r = 2, and is given by the polynomial map

$$p_{\theta} : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^1, \mathbf{x} \mapsto W_2 \rho_2 W_1 \mathbf{x}$$

Here we have:

- $\triangleright~\rho_2$  is the activation function that squares each coordinate.
- $\triangleright$   $W_1$  and  $W_2$  are linear transformations.

# **Parameter Map**

We can compute the polynomial  $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})$ :

$$p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) = (W_2 \rho_2 W_1) \mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 \end{pmatrix} \rho_2 \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + a_{13}x_3)^2 \\ (a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + a_{23}x_3)^2 \end{pmatrix} = b_1 q_1^2 + b_2 q_2^2$$

where  $q_i := a_{i1}x_1 + a_{i2}x_2 + a_{i3}x_3$ .

$$\Psi : \mathbb{R}^8 \to \operatorname{Sym}_2(\mathbb{R}^3) \cong \mathbb{R}^6$$
$$(a_{ij}, b_k)_{i,j,k} \mapsto p_\theta(x) = b_1(a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + a_{13}x_3)^2 + b_2(a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + a_{23}x_3)^2$$

For architecture d = (3, 2, 1), r = 2 and parameters

$$\theta = \begin{bmatrix} W_1 = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 \end{pmatrix}, \ W_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix},$$

the resulting map  $\Psi$  is given by

$$\theta \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} b_1 a_{11}^2 + b_2 a_{21}^2 \\ b_1 a_{12}^2 + b_2 a_{22}^2 \\ b_1 a_{13}^2 + b_2 a_{23}^2 \\ 2(b_1 a_{11} a_{12} + b_2 a_{21} a_{22}) \\ 2(b_1 a_{11} a_{13} + b_2 a_{21} a_{23}) \\ 2(b_1 a_{12} a_{13} + b_2 a_{22} a_{23}) \end{pmatrix}$$

with the entries that are resulting coefficients of a homogeneous polynomial  $b_1q_1^2 + b_2q_2^2$ .

 $\mathcal{M}\coloneqq\mathrm{Im}(\Psi)$  denotes the *neuromanifold*. This is a semialgebraic set.

Its Zariski closure  $\mathcal{V} = \overline{\mathcal{M}}$  is called the *neurovariety*.

An architecture of NN is filling if  $\mathcal{V} = (\text{Sym}_{r^{h-1}}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}))^{n_h}$ . In this case, we say that  $\mathcal{M}$  is thick.

Question: What architectures are filling?

Next, let's consider networks with the architecture d = (n, m, 1) for any  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $p_{\theta} \in \text{Sym}_{r}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$  as

$$p_{ heta}(x) = b_1 q_1(x)^r + b_2 q_2(x)^r + \dots + b_m q_m(x)^r$$
 with

$$q_i(x) = a_{i1}x_1 + \dots + a_{in}x_n, \ i = 1, 2.\dots, m$$

So, we can see that

$$\mathcal{M}_{d,r} = \{ p_{\theta} \in \operatorname{Sym}_{r}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \mid p_{\theta} = b_{1}q_{1}^{r} + b_{2}q_{2}^{r} + \dots + b_{m}q_{m}^{r} \}$$

The neuromanifold  $\mathcal{M}_{d,2} \subseteq \operatorname{Sym}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is given by  $b_1q_1^2 + b_2q_2^2 + \cdots + b_mq_m^2$ .

Question: When is  $\mathcal{M}_{d,2} = \operatorname{Sym}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ?

- $\triangleright$  Take some  $Q \in \operatorname{Sym}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .
- $\triangleright~$  To each Q there's a corresponding symmetric matrix A of size  $n\times n.$
- $\triangleright\,$  Then we can see that  $Q=b_1q_1^2+b_2q_2^2+\dots+b_mq_m^2$  if and only if

$$A = b_1 v_1^T v_1 + b_2 v_2^T v_2 + \dots + b_m v_m^T v_m$$

for some row vectors  $v_i$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, m$ .

So,  $\mathcal{M}_{d,2}$  is described by symmetric matrices of rank at most m.

Single Output Networks: d = (n, m, 1) and r = 2

- $\triangleright \mathcal{M}_{d,2} = \operatorname{Sym}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for  $m \ge n$  as we need exactly n linear terms to hit the full rank of any symmetric matrix.
- $\triangleright \mathcal{M}_{d,2} = \mathcal{V}_{d,2} \subsetneq \operatorname{Sym}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for m < n. The image is given by symmetric matrices of rank  $\leq m$ . In other words, the image is cut out by  $(m+1) \times (m+1)$  minors.

**Example:** Recall d = (3, 2, 1), r = 2. Then  $p_{\theta} \in \mathcal{M}_{d,2}$  if and only if  $\det(A) = 0$  where  $A = b_1 v_1^T v_1 + b_2 v_2^T v_2 =$ 

$$= \begin{pmatrix} b_1a_{11}^2 + b_2a_{21}^2 & 2(b_1a_{11}a_{12} + b_2a_{21}a_{22}) & 2(b_1a_{11}a_{13} + b_2a_{21}a_{23}) \\ 2(b_1a_{11}a_{12} + b_2a_{21}a_{22}) & b_1a_{12}^2 + b_2a_{22}^2 & 2(b_1a_{12}a_{13} + b_2a_{22}a_{23}) \\ 2(b_1a_{11}a_{13} + b_2a_{21}a_{23}) & 2(b_1a_{12}a_{13} + b_2a_{22}a_{23}) & b_1a_{13}^2 + b_2a_{23}^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

The neuromanifold  $\mathcal{M}_{d,r} \subset \operatorname{Sym}_r(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is given by  $b_1q_1^r + b_2q_2^r + \cdots + b_mq_m^r$ .

- $\triangleright$  Instead of a symmetric matrix A, we have a symmetric tensor T.
- $\triangleright$  Instead of  $A = b_1 v_1^T v_1 + b_2 v_2^T v_2 + \dots + b_m v_m^T v_m$ , we have

$$T = b_1 v_1^{\otimes r} + b_2 v_2^{\otimes r} + \dots + b_m v_m^{\otimes r}$$

- $\triangleright$  Unfortunately, the set of tensors with rank  $\leq r$  is not closed.
- $\triangleright$  So, understanding  $\mathcal{M}_{d,r}$  is equivalent to understanding the set of real symmetric tensors T of "some" symmetric rank m

Take a homogeneous polynomial f of degree 3 in 3 variables x, y, and z. According to [?], we can find a change of basis with real coefficients s.t.

$$f(x,y,z)\mapsto g(x,y,z)=x^3+y^3+z^3+\lambda xyz$$
 with  $\lambda\in\mathbb{R}$  .

We know the following about the symmetric tensor  $T_g$ 

▷ if 
$$\lambda \neq -3$$
, then rank<sub>S</sub>(T<sub>g</sub>) = 4.  
▷ if  $\lambda = -3$ , then rank<sub>S</sub>(T<sub>g</sub>) = 5.

This gives us that

▷ 
$$d = (3, 4, 1), r = 3, \mathcal{M}_{d,3} \subsetneq \mathcal{V}_{d,3} = \operatorname{Sym}_3(\mathbb{R}^3).$$
  
▷  $d' = (3, 5, 1), r = 3, \mathcal{M}_{d',3} = \operatorname{Sym}_3(\mathbb{R}^3).$ 

**Question:** For a 2-layer network architecture (d, r) such that  $\mathcal{V}_{d,r} \subsetneq (\operatorname{Sym}_r(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}))^{n_h}$ , are there any other examples (other than d = (n, m, 1), r = 2) where  $\mathcal{M}_{d,r} = \mathcal{V}_{d,r}$ ?

# **Example:** d = (2, 2, 2, 1) and r = 2

For the architecture d = (2, 2, 2, 1) and r = 2, we have the following polynomial map

$$p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) = (W_3 \rho_2 W_2 \rho_2 W_1) \mathbf{x} = W_3 \rho_2 (W_2 \rho_2 W_1 \mathbf{x}) = W_3 \rho_2 \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} q_1^2 + b_{12} q_2^2 \\ b_{21} q_1^2 + b_{22} q_2^2 \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$= (c_1 \quad c_2) \begin{pmatrix} (b_{11}q_1^2 + b_{12}q_2^2)^2 \\ (b_{21}q_1^2 + b_{22}q_2^2)^2 \end{pmatrix} = c_1(b_{11}q_1^2 + b_{12}q_2^2)^2 + c_2(b_{21}q_1^2 + b_{22}q_2^2)^2$$

So, the image of  $p_{\theta}$  is given by a homogeneous polynomial of degree 4 in two variables that can be decomposed as

$$\alpha_1 q_1^4 + \alpha_2 q_1^2 q_2^2 + \alpha_3 q_2^4$$

for some  $\alpha_i$  depending on  $a_{ij}$ ,  $b_{pq}$ , and  $c_k$ .

Question: What can we say about decomposing real symmetric tensors  $T \in \text{Sym}_4(\mathbb{R}^2)$  as  $T = \alpha_1 v_1^{\otimes 4} + \alpha_2 v_1^{\otimes 2} v_2^{\otimes 2} + \alpha_2 v_2^{\otimes 4}$ ?

# Thank you! Questions? Comments?